## For the Northern District of California

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	KAREN MOE HUMPHREYS, No. C-04-03808 SI (EDL)
9	Plaintiff, ORDER REGARDING SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS IN CAMERA
10	
11	REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	On September 15, 2006, in response to the Court's September 11, 2006 Order, Defendants
15	submitted documents 1-10 and 28 from its privilege log for <u>in camera</u> review. The Court has
16	reviewed those documents and determined that documents 1-9 are properly included on the privilege
17 18	log. The two pages of document 10 that were submitted for <u>in camera</u> review are also properly on
19	the privilege log. However, the description of document 10 on the privilege log includes "attached
20	personal notes from Dawn Whalin." Those personal notes were not submitted for the Court's
20	review. Defendants shall lodge those documents for <u>in camera</u> review no later than September 29,

2006. Document 28 is an e-mail chain containing messages dated before and after the commencement of litigation. To the extent that this document reflects attorney-client communications made after this litigation was filed, those portions of the document may be withheld without being listed on the privilege log. Therefore, Defendants shall produce document 28 to Plaintiff with redactions for that portion of the e-mail chain dated after the litigation commenced.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 25, 2006

United States Magistrate Judge